		FILED RECEIVED ENTERED SERVED ON			
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	ROBERTSON & VICK, LLP Alexander Robertson, IV (NV Bar No. 8642) Jennifer L. Taylor (NV Bar No. 5798) 401 North Buffalo Drive, Suite 202 Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 Telephone: (702) 247-4661 Facsimile: (702) 247-6227 arobertson@rvcdlaw.com itaylor@rvcdlaw.com GREBEN & ASSOCIATES Jan Adam Greben (CA Bar No. 103464) Admitted Pro Hac Vice 1332 Anacapa Street, Suite 110 Santa Barbara, CA 93101 Telephone: (805) 963-9090	FILED RECEIVED SERVED ON COUNSEL/PARTIES OF RECORD DEC 2 7 2010 CLERK US DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA BY: DEPUTY			
9	Facsimile: (805) 963-9098 jan@grebenlaw.com				
10	Attorneys for Plaintiffs				
11					
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
13	DISTRICT OF NEVADA				
. 14	PETER J. VOGGENTHALER; et al.) CASE NO. 2:08-cv-01618 RCJ (GWF)			
) ·)			
15	Plaintiffs,)) PERMANENT			
	Plaintiffs,) INJUNCTION GOVERNING THE) CLEAN UP OF HAZARDOUS			
15) INJUNCTION GOVERNING THE) CLEAN UP OF HAZARDOUS) SUBSTANCES AT AND EMANATING) FROM MARYLAND SQUARE			
15 16	vs.) INJUNCTION GOVERNING THE) CLEAN UP OF HAZARDOUS			
15 16 17	vs. MARYLAND SQUARE, LLC; et al.) INJUNCTION GOVERNING THE) CLEAN UP OF HAZARDOUS) SUBSTANCES AT AND EMANATING) FROM MARYLAND SQUARE			
15 16 17 18	vs. MARYLAND SQUARE, LLC; et al.) INJUNCTION GOVERNING THE) CLEAN UP OF HAZARDOUS) SUBSTANCES AT AND EMANATING) FROM MARYLAND SQUARE			
15 16 17 18 19	vs. MARYLAND SQUARE, LLC; et al.) INJUNCTION GOVERNING THE) CLEAN UP OF HAZARDOUS) SUBSTANCES AT AND EMANATING) FROM MARYLAND SQUARE			
15 16 17 18 19 20	vs. MARYLAND SQUARE, LLC; et al.) INJUNCTION GOVERNING THE) CLEAN UP OF HAZARDOUS) SUBSTANCES AT AND EMANATING) FROM MARYLAND SQUARE			
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	vs. MARYLAND SQUARE, LLC; et al. Defendants.) INJUNCTION GOVERNING THE) CLEAN UP OF HAZARDOUS) SUBSTANCES AT AND EMANATING) FROM MARYLAND SQUARE			
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MARYLAND SQUARE, LLC; et al. Defendants.) INJUNCTION GOVERNING THE) CLEAN UP OF HAZARDOUS) SUBSTANCES AT AND EMANATING) FROM MARYLAND SQUARE			
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MARYLAND SQUARE, LLC; et al. Defendants.) INJUNCTION GOVERNING THE) CLEAN UP OF HAZARDOUS) SUBSTANCES AT AND EMANATING) FROM MARYLAND SQUARE			
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	ws. MARYLAND SQUARE, LLC; et al. Defendants.) INJUNCTION GOVERNING THE) CLEAN UP OF HAZARDOUS) SUBSTANCES AT AND EMANATING) FROM MARYLAND SQUARE			
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	ws. MARYLAND SQUARE, LLC; et al. Defendants.) INJUNCTION GOVERNING THE) CLEAN UP OF HAZARDOUS) SUBSTANCES AT AND EMANATING) FROM MARYLAND SQUARE			

SUMMARY OF CONTENTS Page 2 Introduction1 3 T. II. Injunction to Undertake Mitigation and Corrective Actions2 M: 5 Performance of Work4 IV. Compliance With Applicable Laws5 V. Record Retention5 VI. Extension Requests5 VII. Incorporation of Plans, Schedules and Reports5 10 VIII. Calendar of Tasks and Schedules5 11 IX. Dispute Resolution and Continuing Jurisdiction of the Court6 12 X. Participation of Plaintiffs6 13 XI. Deliverables6 XII. 14 Effective Date7 XIII. 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

I. INTRODUCTION

The Court hereby issues the following Injunction Governing the Cleanup of the Hazardous Substance Contamination at and Emanating from the Maryland Square Shopping Center ("Injunction").

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED PURSUANT TO FEDERAL RULE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE 65 THAT:

II. DEFINITIONS

Whenever the following terms are used in this Injunction, together with all documents appended hereto, the following meanings shall apply:

- A. "Corrective Action" means a permanent remedy to prevent a hazardous substance or waste from posing a threat or potential threat to public health or the environment (Nevada Administrative Code [NAC] 445A.2262).
- B. "Defendants" shall mean (1) Maryland Square, LLC; (2) Maryland Square
 Shopping Center Limited Liability Company; (3) Herman Kishner, dba Maryland
 Square Shopping Center; and (4) Irwin Kishner, Jerry Engel, and Bank of
 America as Trustees for the Herman Kishner Trust; (5) Shapiro Brothers.
 Investment Co.
- C. "Deliverable" shall mean, without limitation, any work plan, report, progress report, plan, data, document, information, or submittal, which the Defendants are required to submit to the Nevada Division of Environmental Protection (NDEP).
- D. "Hazardous Substance" shall have the same meanings as set for the in Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA) 101(14), 42 U.S.C. 9601(14), including without limitation, tetrachloroethylene (PCE), trichloroethylene (TCE), cis-1,2-dichloroethylene, and chloroethene (also referred to as vinyl chloride), and shall specifically include all materials meeting either the definition of "Hazardous Waste" or "Solid Waste" set forth in federal Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) statutory definitions at 1003(5) and (27), 42 U.S.C. 6903(5) and (27), respectively. In

1		addition, "Hazardous Substance" includes, without limitation, hazardous waste
2		(Nevada Revised Statutes [NRS] 459.430), a pollutant (NRS 445A.400) and a
3		contaminant (NRS 445A.325).
4	E.	"Interim-Action Level" means NDEP's Interim-Action Level for PCE vapors in
5		residential indoor air, which was based on a 10 ⁻⁴ incremental risk, per U.S.
6	•	Environmental Protection Agency's 2004 Preliminary Remediation Goals (PRGs)
7	F.	"National Contingency Plan" or "NCP" shall mean 40 C.F.R., Part 300.
8	G.	"NAC" means the Nevada Administrative Code.
و	H.	"NDEP" shall mean the Nevada Division of Environmental Protection of the
10		Nevada Department of Conservation and Natural Resources.
11	I.	"NRS" means the Nevada Revised Statutes.
12	J.	"Property" shall mean the property and location of the former Al Phillips the
13		Cleaner (APTC) dry cleaning business located at 3661 S. Maryland Parkway in
14	,	the Las Vegas, Nevada, which is or has been owned by the Defendants.
15	K.	"Remediation Standard" means the level of concentration of a hazardous
16		substance, hazardous material or a regulated substance in soil, groundwater or
17		surface water which Corrective Action is designed to achieve. The final
18		remediation standards may be adjusted based on site-specific factors, site-specific
19		calculated risk estimations, and background (i.e., ambient) conditions.
20	L.	"Site" shall mean the Property and any area or media where Hazardous
21	·	Substances released at or from the Property have come to be located, including
22		any area where Hazardous Substances released at or from the Property have
23		commingled with Hazardous Substances from other sites.
24	M.	"Work" shall mean all activities prescribed by, or required to be performed
25		pursuant to this Injunction. All documents required to be submitted to NDEP as
26		part of this Work, as well as any required response by NDEP, shall be filed with
27		the Court.
	!	

III. INJUNCTION TO UNDERTAKE MITIGATION AND CORRECTIVE ACTIONS

The Defendants are enjoined to and shall, jointly and severally, participate in this Injunction governing the performance of Work at the Site, as required in this Injunction. No actions shall be taken without review and approval by NDEP.

- A. <u>MITIGATION OF INDOOR AIR AND WELL WATER</u>. At a minimum the following actions shall be implemented pursuant to this Injunction:
 - 1. Work Plan for Mitigation of Indoor Air and Well Water. Within sixty

 (60) days of the effective date of this Injunction, the Defendants shall submit a Work Plan for the implementation of actions necessary to control, mitigate and abate the threats to human health via the vapor intrusion pathway. Implementation of the Work Plan shall begin no later than thirty

 (30) days after approval of the Work Plan by NDEP.
 - a. To provide indoor air sampling, at least annually and to be initiated following the time-table specified in III.A.1., for homes in the neighborhood that overlie the area of elevated PCE concentrations in groundwater, as defined by the 100 micrograms per liter (μg/L) boundary of the plume. Resampling shall be in accordance with the schedule and work plans as approved by NDEP.
 - b. Design, install and test the efficacy of additional subslab depressurization (SSD) systems if indoor air samples collected from unmitigated homes that are found to contain PCE vapors at concentrations that exceed NDEP's Interim-Action Level.
 - Maintain and monitor existing SSD systems until Remediation
 Standards for PCE in groundwater and indoor air have been met.
 - d. Define the downgradient extent of the Site groundwater plume containing more than 5 μg/L of PCE, identify any domestic wells within this plume, and take appropriate action to assure that the drinking water standards for PCE and its degradation products are

- :--

not exceeded in these water supply wells. Initiation of Work toward delineating the plume to 5 μ g/L shall begin no later than thirty (30) days after approval of the Work Plan by NDEP.

- B. <u>REMEDIATION OF SOURCE AREA SOILS</u>. The Defendants shall submit to NDEP for review and approval:
 - Corrective Action Plan (CAP) for Source Area Soils located at the former
 Maryland Square Shopping Center. The cleanup goal for source area soils
 shall be based on protection of groundwater and human health, as
 determined by NDEP.

C. REMEDIATION OF GROUNDWATER.

- 1. CAP for Groundwater and implementation schedule describing all activities necessary to complete the analysis of alternatives to remediate shallow groundwater, in order to address the indoor air pathway, to be submitted by the Defendants for review and approval by NDEP.

 Defendants shall implement the approved CAP for Groundwater in accordance with the approved schedule for the groundwater remedy.
- 2. Corrective Action Report. Defendants shall submit a draft Corrective Action Report for review in accordance with the approved implementation schedule. The draft Corrective Action Report shall provide the results of pilot studies, bench-scale studies, and/or treatability studies (i.e., detailed analysis of remedial alternatives) consistent with NAC 445A and NRS, and the NCP.
- 3. Proposed Plan. Defendants shall prepare and submit to NDEP and the Court, a Proposed Plan no later than thirty (30) days after approval of the RAS Report by NDEP and the Court. The Proposed Plan shall include a statement of reasons setting forth the basis for the remedial actions selected and the Remediation Standards for PCE in indoor air and groundwater.

- Record of Decision (RQD). NDEP shall draft a ROD, which shall present the selected remedial alternative for groundwater at the Site. This decision shall be based upon the Administrative Record, NAC 445A.2271 and 445A.2273, and shall be in accordance with criteria listed in the NCP at 40 CFR 300.430(f).
- Remedial Design/Remedial Action (RD/RA), Operation and Maintenance. Defendants shall prepare RD/RA documents and submit to NDEP within one hundred twenty (120) days of acceptance of the Proposed Plan, and perform such actions in conformance with the remedy selected and set forth in the ROD.
- OUARTERLY GROUNDWATER MONITORING AND REPORTING. This Work shall continue according to the schedule previously established in NDEP
- COMMUNITY RELATIONS PLAN. Defendants shall prepare a Community Relations Plan. Defendants shall work cooperatively with NDEP and Plaintiffs to provide information updates on progress of mitigation and remediation efforts to residents and other stakeholders, in cooperation with local government officials.
- STATUS REPORTS. Defendants shall submit to the Court, NDEP and the Plaintiffs, quarterly reports describing status of Work for the Site. Defendants also shall promptly transmit these reports not later than thirty (30) days after the end of the last month of the quarter, beginning with the fourth calendar quarter of 2010. Defendants may request, and NDEP may consider, modifications to the frequency of reporting.

28

MODIFICATION OF DELIVERABLES.

If Defendants modify any method or initiate new activities for which necessary procedures or plans have been established, the Defendants shall prepare an addendum to the approved Work Plan(s) for review and

....

comment by NDEP. NDEP shall have the option of accepting, rejecting, or modifying any Work completed incorporating unapproved modifications or deviations from the approved Work Plans.

- If NDEP determines that modification to the Work specified in the
 Injunction and/or in other Deliverables developed pursuant to the
 Injunction is necessary, NDEP may require that such modification be
 incorporated in such Deliverables to the extent that it is consistent with
 future ROD(s).
- 3. If the Defendants or Plaintiffs object to any modification determined by NDEP to be necessary pursuant to this paragraph, they may seek dispute resolution by the Court pursuant to Section X (Dispute Resolution and Continuing Jurisdiction of the Court). Deliverables shall be modified in accordance with final resolution of the dispute. The Defendants shall proceed with all Work not the subject of Dispute Resolution.
- 4. Nothing in this paragraph shall be construed to limit NDEP's authority to require performance of further response actions as otherwise provided in this Injunction.
- 5. The Defendants shall continue to implement the Remedial Action(s) and maintain home mitigation systems until Remediation Standards are achieved, or as otherwise determined under NDEP's regulatory authority under NAC 445A.

V. COMPLIANCE WITH APPLICABLE LAWS

The Work shall comply with all applicable state, and local laws, regulations and guidelines, as amended, including but not limited to, NRS 445A, NRS 459 and, not inconsistent with the NCP.

27 1///

28 //

RECORD RETENTION VI.

1

2

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

27

28

Copies of all documents shall be filed with the Court and submitted to NDEP in both hard copy and electronic file formats. Non-privileged Deliverables and correspondence will be posted on NDEP's website at: http://ndep.nv.gov/pce/maryland_square.htm.

EXTENSION REQUESTS VII.

If the Defendants are unable to perform any activity or submit any document within the time required under this Injunction, that Defendants may, prior to expiration of the time, request an extension of the time in writing to NDEP, with a copy to the Court. The extension request shall include a justification for the delay. All such requests shall be in advance of the date on which the activity or document is due.

VIII. INCORPORATION OF PLANS, SCHEDULES AND REPORTS

All plans, schedules, reports, specifications and other documents that are submitted by Defendants pursuant to this Injunction are incorporated in this Injunction upon filing with the Court and the appropriate review and approval by NDEP.

CALENDAR OF TASKS AND SCHEDULES IX.

Quarterly Groundwater Monitoring and Reporting

This Section provides a listing and schedule of key Deliverables required by NDEP:

17	7 Deliverable		<u>Timeline</u>	
18	A.1.	Work Plan for Mitigation of Indoor Air		
19	•	and Well Water	Within 60 Days of this Injunction	
20	B.1.	CAP for Source Area Soil	September 13, 2010	
21	C.1.	CAP for Groundwater	October 11, 2010	
22	C.2.	Corrective Action Report	Within 180 days of approved	
23			Groundwater CAP	
24	C.3.	Proposed Plan	Within 30 days of approval	
25	C.4.	ROD	(To be prepared by NDEP).	
26	C.5.	RD/RA Report	Within 120 days of approved	
27			Proposed Plan	

As scheduled

H	<i>:</i>	·	
1	E. Community Relations Plan	Within 60 days of this Injunction	
2	F. Status Reports	Quarterly, beginning with 4th	
3		Quarter, 2010	
4	Initiation of Mitigation Actions	Within 30 days of approved work	
5		plan	
6	Notice of Work	Notification within 5 days of	
7		knowledge of delay	
8	Delay in Work	No later than 5 days prior to any field	
9		Work	
10	X. DISPUTE RESOLUTION AND CONTINUING	G JURISDICTION OF THE COURT	
11	The Court shall retain full jurisdiction over this Inj	unction for purposes of ensuring	
12	compliance with its terms and provisions and adjudicating any dispute arising hereunder.		
13	A. If the Defendants or Plaintiffs disagree with any decision or failure to make a		
14	decision by NDEP pursuant to this Injuncti	ion, they shall notify NDEP and the	
15	Court in writing of the dispute ("Notice of	Dispute") within thirty (30) days of	
16	the decision or failure to make a decision.		
17	B. The "Notice of Dispute" shall set forth the	specific points of the dispute, the	
18	position the disputants claim should be add	opted as consistent with the	
19	requirements of this Injunction, the basis f	or the position, any factual data,	
20	analysis or opinion supporting that position	n, any supporting documentation relied	
21	upon, and any information necessary for the	ne Court's determination.	
22	C. NDEP and the non-disputing party shall have	ave thirty (30) days or such time set by	
23	the Court to respond. The Court's decision	n shall be incorporated into and become	
24	an enforceable element of this Injunction,	and shall be considered the final	
25	decision.		
26	D. Any party may petition the Court for revie	w of the terms of this Injunctive Order,	
27	or review of its implementation.		
28			
	· I		

_ ;__

PARTICIPATION OF PLAINTIFFS XI. All Deliverables submitted by the Defendants to NDEP and any response by 2 A. NDEP shall be filed with the Court shall also be submitted to the Plaintiffs as 3 specified in XII of this Injunctive Order. Plaintiffs may provide comments on all Deliverables to NDEP. NDEP commits **B**. ` to discuss all such comments with the Plaintiffs or provide an informal response; however, NDEP shall prepare a formal "Response to Comments" document only for selected Submittals. Such selected Submittals include the Proposed Plan for remediation of groundwater, as well as any other Submittals, as agreed to by both the Plaintiffs and NDEP. 10 XII. **DELIVERABLES** 11 All Deliverables and notifications from Defendants required by this Injunction shall be 12 sent simultaneously to: 13 As to the State of Nevada: Mary A. Siders, Ph.D. William Frey, Sr. Deputy AG NDÉP, Bureau of Corrective Actions Office of the Attorney General 901 S. Stewart St., Ste 4001 100 N. Carson St. 16 Carson City, NV 89701 Carson City, NV 89701 17 As to Plaintiffs: 18 Jan Greben, Esq. Alexander Roberston, IV, Esq. 19 Greben & Associates Robertson & Vick, Esq. 1332 Anacapa Street, Suitel 10 880 Hampshire Road, Suite B 20 Santa Barbara, CA 93101 Westlake Village, CA 91361 21 XIII. EFFECTIVE DATE 22 This Injunction is effective after it is signed by the Court, entered by the Clerk, and 23 served on NDEP, the Plaintiffs and the Defendants. IT IS SO ORDERED. 26 Dated December 27, 2010 Distric, Judge 28